UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/989,779	11/20/2001	Robert Raymond Miller II	ATT/2001-0067	2039	
	7590 12/08/201 L DEPARTMENT - V	EXAMINER			
PATENT DOC	KETING	IQBAL, KHAWAR			
BEDMINSTER	, ONE AT& T WAY 1, NJ 07921		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2617		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/08/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Ар	plication No.	ication No. Applicant(s)					
		09	/989,779	MILL	MILLER LL ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Ex	aminer	Art U	nit				
		K⊦	IAWAR IQBAL	2617					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun r Reply	ication appears	on the cover sheet	with the corresp	ondence ad	ddress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Status									
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on 01 Octob	er 0210						
· —	•		on is non-final.						
—		<i>′</i> —		itters prosecuti	ion as to the	e merits is			
-	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
	on of Claims	•	• •	,					
-									
· —	Claim(s) <u>1-13 and 15-21</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
· ·	6) Claim(s) 1-13 and 15-21 is/are rejected.								
-	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	tion and/or ala	otion requirement						
اـــا(٥	Claim(s) are subject to restric	and/or ele	cuon requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers								
9) 🔲 -	The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.							
10) 🔲 -	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	the correction is	required if the drawin	ıg(s) is objected t	to. See 37 Cl	FR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some col None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
2) Notice Notice (3) Inform	e(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Pnation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	TO-948)	Paper No	/ Summary (PTO-4 o(s)/Mail Date. f Informal Patent A					

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claims 1, 4-13, 15-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Aizawa et al (6931238).

Regarding claim 1 Aizawa et al discloses a radio receiver comprising (fig. 2, 8):

first and second antennas (antenna A-D, fig. 2) connected to a radio frequency processing circuitry by a radio frequency switch (104, fig. 2); and

a radio frequency switch control (107, fig. 2) in communication with the radio frequency switch (104, fig. 2), the radio frequency switch control (107, fig. 2) for switching between the first and second antennas (antenna A-D, fig. 2) in response to a predefined schedule (105, fig. 2) of a sequence of scheduled packet bursts (col. 6, lines 6-33, see fig. 4-5, 7 and 11-14), wherein the predefined schedule is scheduled by a base station (fig. 2 and 8) (, wherein the sequence of scheduled packet bursts comprises a first signal burst received via the first antenna and a second signal burst received via the second antenna (col. 4, lines 25-40), wherein the first signal burst and

the second signal burst comprise identical packets of a common message (see fig. 4-5, 7 and 11-14, Time T1 and Time T5 identical packets of a common message).

Regarding claim 4, Aizawa et al discloses the antennas are switched so that each antenna receives a related packet burst (col. 6, lines 6-33, see fig. 4-5, 7 and 11-14).

Regarding claim 5 Aizawa et al discloses a method of maintaining a controlled quality of service in a wireless communication system, comprising:

receiving scheduled communications from a transceiver at a transmission station in accordance with a predefined schedule of a sequence of scheduled packet bursts by wireless transceivers at receiving stations having switched protocol diversity reception operational modes, wherein the predefined schedule is scheduled by the transmission station to switch between a first antenna and a second antenna, enabling the first antenna to receive a first packet burst in accordance with the predefined schedule; enabling the second antenna to receive a second packet burst in accordance with the predefined schedule, wherein the first packet burst and the second packet burst comprise identical packets of a common message; recording the received bursts as soft information in a storage medium; and combining the soft information from the first and second bursts into a single message (col. 4, lines 25-40, col. 6, lines 6-33, see fig. 4-5, 7 and 11-14, see detail in claim 1 above).

Regarding claims 6, 7 Aizawa et al discloses each packet burst contains a same complete message (see fig. 4-5, 7 and 11-14, Time T1 and Time T5 identical packets of

a common message, (col. 4, lines 25-40, col. 6, lines 6-33, see fig. 4-5, 7 and 11-14, see detail in claim 1 above).

Regarding claim 8, Aizawa et al discloses a method of achieving a quality of service control in a wireless local area network communication system, comprising:

transmitting a message contained within a plurality of packet bursts occurring at spaced time intervals, wherein a first packet burst and a second packet burst of the plurality of packet bursts comprise identical packets of a common message; and receiving each of the packet bursts individually at one of a plurality of antennas in accordance with a predefined schedule, where the predefined schedule is scheduled by a base station and is used to select one of the plurality of antennas for receiving each of the packet bursts (col. 4, lines 25-40, col. 6, lines 6-33, see fig. 4-5, 7 and 11-14, see detail in claim 1 above).

Regarding claim 9, Aizawa et al discloses each of the plurality of the antennas is connected to a radio receiver at separate times relative to other antennas (col. 4, lines 25-40, col. 6, lines 6-33, see fig. 4-5, 7 and 11-14, see detail in claim 1 above).

Regarding claim 10, Aizawa et al discloses including a complete message within each packet burst (col. 4, lines 25-40, col. 6, lines 6-33, see fig. 4-5, 7 and 11-14, see detail in claim 1 above).

Regarding claim 11, Aizawa et al discloses a message is spread across the plurality of packet bursts by space-time coding (col. 1, lines 34-40).

Regarding claim 12, Aizawa et al discloses the transmitting combines a protocol with signal processing (abstract)

Regarding claim 13, Aizawa et al discloses a communication system for coupling a transmitter and a receiver adapted for receiving a first signal burst and a second signal burst by a first antenna and a second antenna respectively, and responding to the two signal bursts to communicate a single unified message at the receiver (col. 4, lines 25-40, col. 6, lines 6-33, see fig. 4-5, 7 and 11-14, see detail in claim 1 above); wherein:

the first and second signal bursts are sequentially separated in time in accordance with a predefined schedule, wherein the predefined schedule is scheduled by a base station, wherein the first signal burst and the second signal burst comprise identical packets of a common message (col. 4, lines 25-40, col. 6, lines 6-33, see fig. 4-5, 7 and 11-14, see detail in claim 1 above);

the first and second antennas are sequentially enabled in accordance with the predefined schedule to communicate with a storage medium at the receiver (col. 4, lines 25-40, col. 6, lines 6-33, see fig. 4-5, 7 and 11-14, see detail in claim 1 above); and

enabling a representation of the single unified message by responding to the first and second signal bursts (col. 4, lines 25-40, col. 6, lines 6-33, see fig. 4-5, 7 and 11-14, see detail in claim 1 above).

Regarding claim 15, Aizawa et al discloses a common message is derived from the sequential signal bursts received by the first and second antennas (col. 4, lines 25-40, col. 6, lines 6-33, see fig. 4-5, 7 and 11-14, see detail in claim 1 above).

Regarding claim 16, Aizawa et al discloses that said enabling includes retaining the first and second signal bursts in said at least one storage medium and processing to deliver: the single unified message (col. 4, lines 25-40, col. 6, lines 6-33, see fig. 4-5, 7 and 11-14, see detail in claim 1 above).

Regarding claim 17, Aizawa et al discloses selecting a message from one of the antennas selecting a message from one of the antennas (col. 4, lines 25-40, col. 6, lines 6-33, see fig. 4-5, 7 and 11-14, see detail in claim 1 above).

Regarding claim 18, Aizawa et al discloses that said deriving the common message includes selecting a message from one of the receiving antennas (col. 4, lines 25-40, col. 6, lines 6-33, see fig. 4-5, 7 and 11-14, see detail in claim 1 above).

Regarding claim 19-20, Aizawa et al discloses notifying a transmitter at a transmitting end by a receiving end of a number of antennas and radio receivers at the receiving end (col. 4, lines 25-40, col. 6, lines 6-33, see fig. 4-5, 7 and 11-14, see detail in claim 1 above).

Regarding claim 21, Aizawa et al discloses upon reconstruction of a received message sending a message to a transmitting end to cease further message bursts (col. 4, lines 25-40, col. 6, lines 6-33, see fig. 4-5, 7 and 11-14, see detail in claim 1 above).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in Graham v. John Deere Co., 383 U.S. 1,148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 4. Claims 2, 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aizawa et al (6931238) in view of Aaronson et al (US006363062B1).

Regarding claim 2, Aizawa et al fails to expressly disclose the use of a MAC protocol. In a similar field of endeavor, Aaronson et al discloses a radio system where the MAC layer schedules communication bursts (see column 4, lines 22-63) taking into account factors such as propagation delay between the different nodes, queuing of data and synchronization of the time transmitting from multiple nodes (see column 3, lines 22-30).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Aizawa et al with Aaronson et al to include the above MAC layer in order to use the advantages of a MAC protocol such as more efficient use of the spectrum at a given region as suggested by Aaronson et al (see column 3, line 66 - column 4, line 2).

Regarding claim 3, Aizawa et al fails to disclose that the RF switch control is a MAC processor.

In a similar field of endeavor, Aaronson et al discloses that the MAC algorithm should synchronize the time of transmitting from multiple nodes (see column 3, lines 22-29). Aaronson et al also discloses a radio system where the MAC layer schedules communication bursts (see column 4, lines 22-63) taking into account factors such as propagation delay between the different nodes, queuing of data and synchronization of the time transmitting from multiple nodes (see column 3, lines 22-30).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Aizawa et al with Aaronson et al to include the above MAC layer in order to use the advantages of a MAC protocol such as more efficient use of the spectrum at a given region as suggested by Aaronson et al (see column 3, line 66 - column 4, line 2).

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-13, 15-21 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to KHAWAR IQBAL whose telephone number is (571)272-7909. The examiner can normally be reached on 9 am to 6.30 pm Monday to Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, GEORGE ENG can be reached on 571-272-7495. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/989,779 Page 9

Art Unit: 2617

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/George Eng/ /K. I./

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2617 Examiner, Art Unit 2617